

FILED ENTERED	RECEIVED SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 0 auto; width: 100px;"> NOV 14 2014 </div>	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
 RENO, NEVADA 89501
 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

**DISTRICT COURT
 OF NEVADA**

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
 In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

otions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
 ld case concerning the adjudication of water
 and private users of water in the Walker River
 re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
 endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
 Second, the present subfiles, although created after
 Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
 subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
 ervenors relating to the existing Decree, as
 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
 assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
 the subfiles therefore have their own administrative
 system. Although the subfiles were created in
 assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
 not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
 modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

Courtney Brown
 pro hac vice
 P.O. Box 1507
 Taos, NM 87571

NIXIE

871 FE 1009 0011/10/14

RETURN TO SENDER
 ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
 UNABLE TO FORWARD



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
 02 1R
 0002001586
 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 89501
 RENEY BOWES
 \$00.480
 OCT 17 2014

Handwritten: 20

BC: 89501219576 *0941-03842-17-42

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501

NOV 10 2014

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY: _____ DEPUTY

FILED
ENTERED
RECEIVED
SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
NOT FOR BUSINESS

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER


options in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
d case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

bear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
ndent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
cond, the present subfiles, although created after
ases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
venors relating to the existing Decree, as
ase, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
subfiles therefore have their own administrative
stem. Although the subfiles were created in
igned modern case numbers sequential to that
pt clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
rn case numbers as if they had been filed in

Wanda M. Menesini
174 South O Street
Lincoln, CA 95648

RENO NV 895
17 OCT 2014 PM 2 T


NIXIE 957 11/02/14
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD



FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 12 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY:	DEPUTY

Denise L. Terry
P.O. Box 601447
Sacramento, CA 95860

11/15/05/11/14 0211/05/14
957 4E 1009 600 756
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
*2772-01419-05-15
89501219576
5612010568
RENO NV 895
21 OCT 2014 PM 2 T



DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA

3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B
3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C

ORDER

ptions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
d case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
re the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-
appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
pendent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
Second, the present subfiles, although created after
s Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
subfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
tervenors relating to the existing Decree, as
5 Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
rs assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
The subfiles therefore have their own administrative
g system. Although the subfiles were created in
e assigned modern case numbers sequential to that
is not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
modern case numbers as if they had been filed in

UTF

FILED
ENTERED
RECEIVED
SERVED
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
NOV 10 2014
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: _____ DEPUTY

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301
RENO, NEVADA 89501

DISTRICT COURT
OF NEVADA


3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-B

3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC
In Equity No. C-125-C


ORDER

itions in two "subfiles" of Case In Equity No. C-
case concerning the adjudication of water
and private users of water in the Walker River
the Court in the C-125-B Case, No. 3:73-cv-

appear unorthodox to the reader for several reasons.
endent case, was filed in 1924 before the federal
ne Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1937;
econd, the present subfiles, although created after
Cases In Equity Nos. C-125-B and C-125-C,
bfiles created by Judge Reed in the early 1990s
venors relating to the existing Decree, as
Case, as well as subfiles C-125-A, C-125-B, and
assigned to them: 3:73-cv-125, 3:73-cv-126,
e subfiles therefore have their own administrative
system. Although the subfiles were created in
signed modern case numbers sequential to that
not clear to the Court (or the Clerk) why the C-
tern case numbers as if they had been filed in



Candace Wipiti
P.O. Box 79
Wellington, NV 89444



855 MFC 100501400010/15/14

WIPITI, CANDACE
8851 CENTENNIAL PARK RD
MAZKO BRITISH COLUMBIA
CANADA
BL: 00259340000